

## Regional Transportation and Communication in Northeast Asia: A Summary

Mark J. Valencia

Transportation and communication infrastructure in developing Northeast Asia is far inferior to that of its developed neighbors. The existing transportation network and its spatial structure were introduced during the pre-WWII era of colonial and territorial expansion. Although piecemeal improvements have been made to meet immediate national needs and to complete some missing links, this system still dictates the basic nature of transportation in Northeast Asia.<sup>1</sup>

For example, railways were developed mainly to link port cities to inland resource frontiers or to radiate from a few local points which were historical and strategic centers for colonial development. Very few railroads run coast-to-coast. In Korea and Northeast China, transport networks were also characterized by the dominance of north-south lines. Because of different colonial rulers, different track gauges are common, reducing operational efficiency and impeding an integrated railway system. Linkages between production and consumption centers are circuitous and incomplete and feeder routes to main lines are undeveloped. Airports in the region link with central cities but often not across nearby borders. International airports are not conveniently located. The transportation infrastructure that does exist is often in poor repair or out-of-date, especially highways. Except for Nakhodka and Vostochny, developing Northeast Asia also lacks adequate container and other cargo handling and storage facilities.

Without proper infrastructure in place, it will be difficult to attract investors.<sup>2</sup> If it is in place in one locale and not in others, one part of the region will be favored for investment over the others. This is not likely to reflect regional long-run comparative advantages and will tend to undermine the mutual confidence and good will needed for successful intra-regional trade expansion. Issues include how to integrate existing infrastructure, what new infrastructure to try to finance, how to finance it and how to avoid the competitive or redundant development of infrastructure. All of these issues can best be addressed on a regional basis and in a regional forum.


Another question is whether to put the cart or the horse first. Many observers hold that it will be difficult to develop the region without a minimally satisfactory regional transportation and communication infrastructure, now missing or overused in most of Northeast Asia. The argument is that adequate infrastructure – at least physical infrastructure – and financial services must be in place for much to happen. Another view is that only once existing resources are fully utilized, and participant countries have shown that they can cooperate in the management and use of existing infrastructure, is there a need and justification for large new, cooperative investments. In this view it is better to let the infrastructure development follow, rather than lead demand. A better understanding of the potential for cross border interchange without major infrastructure projects is necessary to resolve this issue of supply led or demand pull development.

### **Shipping and Ports**

Within the Sea of Japan, present traffic follows a few well defined routes (Map 5.1).<sup>3</sup> The greatest amounts move directly between the Korea Strait and the Tsugaru Strait, and between the Korea Strait and Niigata or Nakhodka/Vostochny. There is a moderate amount of trade directly between Nakhodka/Vostochny and Niigata, and with other Japanese ports through either Tsugaru Strait or the Korea and the Kanmon straits. With the thaw in political relations there will be an increase in trade among the nations in Northeast Asia. Initially most of the increase in trade across the Sea of Japan will probably be in smaller ships of no more than 5,000 to 10,000 tons. For example, any Tumen River traffic would be in vessels at the lower end of this range. The majority of these will most likely be general purpose cargo ships, adaptable to the carriage of a broad range of cargo.

Three of the four nations bordering the Sea of Japan, plus China, have merchant fleets that are among the fifteen largest in the world (Table 5.1). Japan, Russia, South Korea, and China all possess substantial merchant fleets capable of carrying increased amounts of commerce in the region. What is more significant is the need for ships of appropriate size and type for the trade which is expected to develop. New construction may be required to meet new regional needs.


There are more than 30 international trading ports in the region, and more than 1,300 scheduled vessels per month operate between the region's ports (Map 5.2). New containerized and scheduled shipping lines have



Map 5.1 Major shipping lines

been initiated in major ports, and ambitious port development projects have been planned. However, there are only four ports or port areas located directly on the Sea of Japan involved in relatively large volumes of international trade. Pohang, Nakhodka/Vostochny, Niigata, and Toyama each handled both significant numbers of vessels and more than 5 million tons of ocean shipping in 1987. Pusan is one of the world's great container ports, having handled some 1,800,000 TEUs in 1987.






Map 5.3 Asia-Eurasia axis concept

communication and expressways to prevent Tokyo from becoming too large and too densely-populated. Included in this scheme are Sendai, Niigata, Kanazawa, and Nagoya. The Toetsu new main railway and Kantsu expressway to Niigata have already been built. Moreover, Niigata is situated on an axis extending from Tokyo (Kantsu Expressway, Toetsu new main railway)-Niigata (business center, airport, ports and harbors)-the Golden Delta-Eurasia. Niigata will thus become the third domestic axis after Tokyo-Fukuoka and Tokyo-Sapporo (Map 5.3).

In the mid-1980s, South Korea identified the west coast of the country as a priority area for investment and development. This strategy was formulated to achieve a more balanced regional development within Korea and enhance political and economic relations between Korea and the rest of Northeast Asia. The South Korean government is planning a new container port in Kwangyang which is expected to add an additional capacity of 1.8 million TEUs by the year 2000 (Map 5.4). A new international trading port at Pohang is also under consideration as the Korean focal point for Sea of Japan development.



Map 5.4 Korean arteries

Among the projects planned for the west coast are four new industrial areas and improvement schemes for major transportation networks including expressways, railways, ports, and airports. All of these major investment and construction projects will help facilitate the Yellow Sea region's development.

While China's port modernization program has increased throughput and decreased layover time in several major ports, relatively little attention has been given to creating an integrated, intermodal transport system.<sup>5</sup> As ports continue to improve, one of the major challenges to China's transport sector will be to fully coordinate shipping, rail, and highway networks to get cargo in and out of Chinese ports faster and more reliably so that transportation bottlenecks are not simply shifted between different modes of transportation.

Chinese planners have proposed construction of a new system that begins at Lianyungang and connects by railroad lines to Zhengzhou and Urumuqi. The LBT system then would extend across the Russian border at Alashan Pass and on to Moscow and other parts of Europe.<sup>6</sup> When this new LBT system is completed, containers from South Korea, Japan, Taiwan, and Hong Kong may be unloaded at Lianyungang and then shipped through China and Russia to destinations in Europe and the Middle East. This new LBT system would have a major advantage over the Trans-Siberian Railway (TSR) – cost reduction through shortened distance and year-round uninterrupted service. The Heilongjiang northern passageway will connect to the TSR and thus reduces pressure on import and export transport at Suifenhe and Manzhouli. More ports will be opened along the Heilongjiang for distribution of goods. China, especially its three northeast provinces, is also hoping to develop a maritime link to the Sea of Japan via the Tumen River or possibly a North Korean or Russian port.

In addition to the existing ports of Vostochny and Nakhodka, Vladivostok has opened as an international trading port. It is only 1,000 km from Seoul and Tokyo, and lies at the end of the TSR, allowing freight to be shipped to the western part of the FSU and other countries in Europe. Vladivostok and Nakhodka will become entry ports for exports to and from Asia. New routes connecting Vladivostok to Niigata and Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk to Hakodate will soon be opened.

Currently Russia's ports are significantly underutilized.<sup>7</sup> Each has a potential throughput capacity of over 30 million tons per annum, but less than 50 percent is being utilized. Thus the ports are unlikely to require any significant addition of new capacity for the next 5 to 10 years. But raw capacity notwithstanding, Russian port operations are terribly

inefficient. Ships wait for days at anchorage for a berth at a port, loading and discharging rates are very slow, and containers get lost or delayed for weeks.

Port throughput capacity and productivity are limited by poor operating practices, inadequate equipment, and landside bottlenecks. Second, berths are underutilized as aging, obsolete equipment sits idle awaiting spares and maintenance. Third, port capacity and productivity are limited by the landside bottlenecks – the railway and the access roads. And fourth, poor planning has resulted in a counterproductive mix and layout of incompatible cargo operations. Finally, enterprises must focus on their core business and privatize all services to enhance competition and improve service and production. Shipping concerns were not only responsible for ocean shipping, but also controlled the ports, shipyards and all social functions.


North Korea expects there to be a growing demand for transport facilities from Northeast China toward the east to Japan, Korea, and the Pacific. The route via Rajin or Sonbong is the shortest and most economical. North Korea's initial plan is to utilize its existing rail and port facilities and then gradually expand transportation facilities as demand increases.<sup>8</sup> But North Korea must decide which coast it wishes to favor in its initial development.

#### Railroads (Map 5.5; Table 5.2)

In China, much still needs to be done to upgrade the condition and the capacity of Chinese railways.<sup>9</sup> With the very high density of traffic already carried, any additional volume of cargo will necessitate major upgrading including introduction of diesel and electric power, an overhaul of the permanent way, and the introduction of automatic blocking systems and electrical centralization. In certain stretches, the construction of a second track will be necessary, as will changes of layout with the elimination of steep gradients and sharp curvature.

There are two rail border crossings between China and Russia: Zabaikalsk-Manzhouli at the western end of the Chinese Eastern Railway and Grodekovo-Suifenhe at its eastern end. The first handles interstate cargo, the second primarily cargo in regional and border trade. The latter crossing has bottlenecks from both sides due to insufficient boggy-exchange facilities. Many loading/unloading operations are carried out without mechanization. Thus the establishment of a new cross-border point through a new railway link between Hunchun-Kraskino with a composite gauge track will enhance regional trade and will permit direct





Map 5.5 Transcontinental railways

Table 5.2 Country profile and transportation in Northeast Asia

Country and region	Area (1000 sq.km)	Population (1000)	Population density/ (persons/ sq.km)	Road length (km)	Rail length (km)	Road density (km/1000 sq.km)	Rail density (km/1000 sq.km)
Russian Far East (1989)	6,216	7,941	1.3	21,158	7,727	3.4	1.3
Maritime Kray	166	2,260	13.6	2,058	2,475	3.4	3.0
Khabarovsk Kray	825	1,824	2.2	4,133	1,295	29.9	7.8
Amur Oblast	364	1,058	2.9	4,094	---	2.2	---
Kamchatka Oblast	472	466	0.9	1,038	---	2.2	---
Magadan Oblast	1,199	543	0.5	2,997	---	2.5	---
Sakhalin Oblast	87	709	8.1	2,183	817	25.1	10.2
Yakut ASSR	3,103	1,081	0.3	4,655	124	1.5	0.04
Northeast China	1,970	119,110	60.5	127,266	17,088	64.6	8.7
Heilongjiang	454	35,100	77.3	41,399	5,045	91.2	11.1
Jilin	187	24,030	128.2	16,785	3,488	89.6	18.6
Liaoning	146	36,760	266.0	36,152	3,558	248.1	24.4
Inner Mongolia	1,183	21,220	17.9	32,930	4,998	27.8	4.2
Mongolia (1989)	1,565	2,000	1.3	3,950	1,807	2.5	1.2
North Korea (1989)	125	21,370	170.6	23,000	5,024	184.0	40.2
South Korea (1990)	100	43,520	439.5	55,778	6,435	557.8	64.4
Japan (1990)	378	122,783	329.3	1,095,021	21,375	2,898.4	56.6
Total	10,354	316,724	30.6	1,326,173	59,456	128.1	5.7

Sources: Allan Rodgers, ed. *The Soviet Far East*, p.3 and p. 191. W.B. Kim and B.O. Campbell, eds., *Proceedings of Conference on Economic Development in the Coastal Area of Northeast Asia* (Europe Publication Ltd., Statistical Survey: The Far East & Australia, 1992).

access to ocean ports for Chinese rolling stock without intermediate transloading.

The middle transport corridor through Northeast China and eastern Inner Mongolia consists of railway, high-grade highway, petroleum pipeline and airline. An electric railway will be completed by the year 2000. Eventually this corridor will stretch north to link all ports opened to Russia along the Heilongjiang River, forming a modern and comprehensive transport gateway to southern Northeast China from Heihe to Harbin and to Dalian with Dandong, Yingkuo and Jinzhou as its wings. This would relieve pressure at Manzhouli and Suifenhe. Goods from the western parts of the former Soviet Union can then be transported into China without passing through Suifenhe, thus cutting more than 1,600 km off the journey.

In Mongolia, there are two unconnected railway lines, both one-track unelectrified with a capacity of about 12 pairs of trains daily.<sup>10</sup> The total length of the railway network is about 1,800 km. The main industrial centers are connected by rail. On 8 July 1992, an agreement was signed between Mongolia and China for the use by Mongolia of the port of Tianjin, 993 km from Zhamyn-Uud. However the Chinese railway line and the port of Tianjin are always overloaded and transshipment operations at the Mongolian/Chinese border are complicated by different gauges, absence of mechanization, lack of labor and difficult climatic conditions, resulting in serious delays. Nevertheless, transit from this port to Europe and in the opposite direction is 1,100 km shorter than via the Mandzur-South Baikal railway.

There are two connections with the Russian railway system at Sukhbaatar and at Ereentsav and one connection with the Chinese system at Zhamyn-Uud. One of the Chinese domestic railway lines reaches Mongolia's eastern border. Development of a railway network across the border will enhance bilateral cooperation with neighboring countries and aid development of natural resources, e.g., oil in the southern regions, coking coal in Tavan Tolgoi, zinc in Salkhit, and silver and polymetals in Ulaan and Tsav.

In Russia, the railroad system lacks the control, telecommunications and management systems to effectively provide reliable and competitively priced services in a market environment.<sup>11</sup> Track quality has deteriorated and requires rehabilitation. Problems include deteriorating track quality, a lack of communication and management systems, and the inability to coordinate the conflicting parochial interests of the 19 railroad management systems in Russia. The difficulties experienced by the

Trans-Siberian Express Service (TSES) highlights the problems of the Russian Rail system.

The importance of the TSR to the economic growth and well-being of the Russian Far East (RFE) cannot be overemphasized. The only limitation is the capacity of the rail lines that connect the RFE ports of Vostochny-Nakhodka to the mainline TSR. This is no more than 30 million tons annually, thus limiting the throughput capacity of the RFE ports. However, as these ports combined currently handle less than 20 million tons per year, the limitations of the rail connections are not likely to restrict their growth over the near term. The principal problem is that the TSES is unable to offer a cheaper, faster or reliable alternative to competing all-water services.

The rail transportation system in Russia has at least enough "raw" capacity for the next decade. Indeed, rail traffic in the RFE is expected to decline by about 35 percent over the next 20 years. Thus, while not lacking raw capacity, the transportation infrastructure and institutions of Russia and the RFE do need significant improvements in operating practices and the rehabilitation of obsolete and aging facilities if they are to support an increased level of economic activity between the RFE and its Pacific neighbors.

A second east-west railway, the Baikal-Amur Mainline (BAM), north of the TSR is slowly in progress. Also under construction is a direct rail link from Posyet port across the border to the Chinese city of Hunchun. This will cut out a lengthy rail loop through North Korea's Rajin port and will have many positive implications for the development of the Tumen River region. North Korea's northern railway belt-line network presently connects Chongjin, Rajin and Sonbong ports. The Namyang station is linked to China and the Tumen River station is linked to the Russian railway network.

Beyond the minor improvements planned for the railroad systems in the respective countries, one of the critical regional issues is how to build an integrated multi-modal transportation system to link sea-borne and inland transportation networks. The region has a sufficient railway network for current and near future traffic.<sup>12</sup> However, almost all railways in the region urgently need general upgrading. The highest priority is the urgent overhaul of the permanent way and the structures – bridges, tunnels, pipes, drainage and networks. Changing of rails, sleepers, cleaning and addition of ballast is also badly needed. The poor condition of the permanent way is the main factor limiting an increase in train speed and axle-loads and thus upgrading the general capacity of the railway networks. The upgrading of existing rolling stock is another

priority which, together with the change from steam power in China and certain sections of North Korea, would permit increased train weight and speed. Introduction of block automation and electric interlocking with central traffic control systems would prevent bottlenecks in the transport network. Border crossings are also bottlenecks which prevent further development of trade in the region. A handicap caused by the difference in the track gauge of the Russian railways and standard railways may be overcome by laying composite track in certain stretches as it exists now between Khasan and Chongjin. Such track consists of four rails permitting the movement of the boggies of both gauge specifications.

### **Landbridges: Realities and Possibilities (Map 5.5)**

There are now several landbridge options: the existing Trans-Siberian landbridge; the Chinese Eastern Railway (CER) connecting to the TSR at Manzhouli/Zabaikalsk; and the railbridge passing through Hunchun, Jilin, Changchun, Yirshi and projected to pass through Tamsagbulag and Choybalsan, and from Choybalsan to Borzya on the TSR.

The CER landbridge links a proposed port complex in the Tumen delta area and a planned inland hub marshaling yard via the CER to Manzhouli/Zabaikalsk at the entry to the TSR.<sup>13</sup> The Mongolian Eastern railway would be connected to this trunk line near the Russian city of Borzya. One option could be the direct connection of the Mongolian railway to the CER reducing the number of border crossings and shortening the distance to the Tumen area ports. However, this would require major upgrading, construction of a second track, and a change from steam to diesel power. Moreover, near the city of Mudanjiang, the inclination reaches as much as 28 degree and the radius of curvature in that stretch is very short. The railway is now at full capacity and further increase of traffic is not feasible without construction of a second track, which is now in progress. Much needs to be done before the actual landbridge can be fully operational. This includes coordinating price systems, business procedures, and the alignment of railroad track gauge.

There is another more futuristic alternative - the Trans-Korean Railway (TKR). If the railway between North and South Korea were connected, a TKR would start from the southern coastal port cities of Pusan or Kwangyang and pass through the TKR and join the CER or TSR. This route might have a competitive edge as a new intermodal transportation system to Europe. An extension of this idea is to connect the Korean peninsula and the Japanese archipelago across the Korean Strait by an undersea tunnel. If realized, a person or cargo could go from Tokyo to London by rail. As far as the Eurasian landbridge is concerned,


there will probably be competition in the short-run, but in the long-run competition will evolve into a mutually compensating relationship.

Another dream that is being discussed by the South Korea and Russian government is the construction of a pipeline from Yakut in Russia, through the Russian Far East and North Korea, to South Korea and further to Japan across the Korean Strait<sup>14</sup> (Map 5.6). The total length would be about 5,300 km, which would make it the longest man-made artifact.

### Roads<sup>15</sup>

Road transport plays an important role in border trade and is an important feeder and support for the rail system. Road length and density in developing Northeast Asia is very low (Table 5.2). China has recently completed a highway between Tumen and Hunchun, with two tunnels and several structures protecting it against landslides. Twelve highways connect China with North Korea, Mongolia and Russia, and are an important supplement to international railway transport. Highway transport is expected to become much more important in Northeast China as it is the best way to transport goods in small lots. Also, automobile transport will rapidly become the main means of transporting people between cities and the countryside.

In Mongolia, dispersed population throughout vast territory, the nomadic style of life and long distances between cities and rural communities mean transportation costs greatly affect transport services to the population. Cargo is transported mainly by trucks because the volume is relatively small in quantity, has a seasonal character and one-way destination, and Mongolia is a landlocked country with a harsh continental climate. Mongolia has joined the Asian highway network project. Incorporation of the Ulaan Baatar-Beijing highway into the Asian highway network and its linkage with the Siberian international highway would greatly contribute to the development of foreign trade and tourism and aid development of the country's economy. Moreover, a linkage of China's Urumchi with Hovd as part of the Asian highway network project as well as a future connection with the Ulaan Baatar-Handgait highway would give an important impetus to the development of Mongolia's western region and strengthen the open-door economic policy and the establishment of independent border trade and economic relations between the local governments and their neighbors.



Map 5.6 Gas pipeline grid for Northeast Asia





## Air

There are 27 international airports in the region, and 95 scheduled international direct air routes among the operating airports (Map 5.7).<sup>16</sup> International air routes in operation are highly concentrated between 14 Japanese cities and 3 South Korean cities. The destinations are separated by only two hours of air flight time, which is not greatly different from many domestic routes. Recently, many lines have started operations between Japan and Chinese cities like Beijing and Shanghai, and many more are waiting to be opened. Air route distances and the area to be served are rapidly expanding between Russian cities, e.g., Khabarovsk and Irkutsk, and South Korean and Japanese cities, e.g., Seoul, Niigata, and Nagoya. There is no air link between North Korea and northeast China although there is considerable demand. If South Korean-North Korean relations and Japan-North Korean relations improve, air transportation is expected to double in 15 years. But the region's airports and navigation systems are unprepared to cope with current needs as well as growing demand. In particular, the air traffic system in Northeast Asia is greatly deficient.

## Research Needs

Needed is a major research project to identify, on the basis of a careful comparison of inter-country and intra-country assets, plans, and needs, the most critical transportation and communication infrastructure required to support substantial regional economic cooperation. The focus should be on existing and projected national and international transportation and communication infrastructure and determination of the probable international compatibilities, linkages and gaps.

## NOTES

1. *Tumen River Area Development Programme Report*, United Nations Development Programme, September 1992.
2. Burnham Campbell, "Trade and regional co-operation in developing Northeast Asia: the general picture and the role of the Russian Far East." Paper presented to the workshop in The Russian Far East and the North Pacific Region: East-West Center, Honolulu, Hawaii, 19-21 August, 1993.
3. Hal F. Olson, "Shipping," in Joseph R. Morgan and Mark J. Valencia, eds., *Atlas for Marine Policy in East Asian Seas* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992): 52-77.

4. Zenkichi Wada, "Summary of regional infrastructure: ports and harbors in Kim Won Bae, comp., *Regional Development in the Yellow Sea Rim* (Korea Development Institute for Human Settlements, September 1991).
5. Lee Yok-Shiu, "Transportation planning for regional development in the Yellow Sea Rim," in Kim Won Bae, comp., *op. cit.*
6. *Renmin Ribao*, 10 May 1990.
7. Pamy J. S. Arora, "Strengthening Russia's transportation infrastructure: an enabler of greater economic activity between the Russian Far East and the North Pacific." Paper presented to the Workshop on The Russian Far East and the North Pacific Region, East-West Center, Honolulu, Hawaii, August 19-21, 1993.
8. Mark J. Valencia, "The Pyongyang International Conference and Field Trip," East-West Center, August 1992.
9. Li Wen Ying and Jian Yuanshan, "Project on the construction of the infrastructure of the communication and transportation in Northeast China." Paper presented at the International Seminar on the Regional Development of Northeast China. Korea Research Institute for Human Settlements, Seoul. August 1993; Tumen River Area Report, *supra* n.1.
10. Tumen River Area Report, *supra* n.1.
11. Arora, *supra* n.7.
12. Tumen River Area Report, *supra* n.1.
13. *Ibid.*
14. Allen Whiting, "Yakutiya natural gas and a Northeast Asia energy consortium." Paper presented to the Workshop on The Russian Far East and the North Pacific Region. East-West Center, Honolulu, Hawaii, 19-21 August 1993.
15. Tumen River Area Report, *supra* n.1.
16. Sang-Cheul Choe, "Transportation problems and policies in Northeast Asia in Kim Won Bae, comp., *op. cit.*

## The Development of Transportation Infrastructure in the Russian Far East

Sergey Leonov

The process of production is finished only when the goods are delivered to the consumers. That is self-evident. And it has a great importance for the Russian Far East (RFE) with its vast territory. The transition to a market economy in Russia is impossible without creating an adequate transport network.

At present, transportation in the Russian Far East is the bottleneck of the regional economy. Transport infrastructure is poorly developed to ensure the intensive transportation of export-import goods and passengers. The provision of transportation in the Far East is much lower than in Russia as a whole: for example, railroads by 1.5-2 times, common surfaced motor roads by 2.5 times, oil pipelines by 15-20 times, and gas lines by 8-13 times. Only its provision of navigable waterways is 3 times higher than in the Republic.

### CENTRAL MINISTRIES AND THE INFRASTRUCTURE POLICY FOR RFE

The lack of balance between industries and infrastructure (inadequate development of infrastructure) is the legacy of the so-called "otraslevaja sistema," which is a branch system. Thus, our economy was entirely directed by central ministries. Central budget funds were allocated to these ministries, each of which made its own decision as to how these funds were to be invested. Each ministry managed to achieve appointed targets with allocated central funds by investing them in the territories within the former Soviet Union, according to independent decisions on how to best achieve given production targets. The costs of production in each region within the former Soviet Union varied greatly, and the decisions by the ministries reflected the cheapest way to reach the targets, given the costs of production (including unnecessary infrastructure development and labor). In a particular region several ministries may have been operating simultaneously; however, there was no horizontal coordination between individual ministries. Each ministry tended to allocate the bare minimum

of funds for infrastructure development, which as a result led to the establishment of small scale, inefficient facilities, often of poor quality.

We can show this with the example of the Far East of Russia. This region is relatively large, with an area of approximately 6 million km<sup>2</sup>. The territory is also endowed with an abundance of natural resources: coal, gold, silver, tin, and other ores. For many years the largest investments in the Far East were directed into extractive industries rather than processing industries or transportation. The reasoning behind such one-sided development was that the ministries deemed investment into extractive industries to be more efficient due to the low cost of extracting and high costs of processing natural resources. Thus, extractive industries have traditionally played a leading role in the Far East. Until the end of the 1970s this investment policy resulted in high growth of Far Eastern industries – up to 9% annually (ranging from 8% in forestry to 14% in fishery and non-ferrous metals). But at the same time, the balance between industries and infrastructure was destroyed. By the middle of the 1980s this imbalance in the Russian Far East had become so severe that there was almost no opportunity for economic growth. In order to rectify this situation, it was necessary to solve a wide range of problems, including:

- poor transport infrastructure and inadequate industrial services (electric power production, construction);
- difficulties in increasing the volume of extracted raw materials as well as a lack of processing facilities.

The process of perestroika was employed in the Far East in order to solve these problems. Perestroika in the Far Eastern economy began by devising a long-term program entitled "Far East." But it was not perestroika in the true sense of the word, as the government decided to improve the situation in the Far East by using the "old good method" of direct targets for ministries and enterprises.

Since the beginning of 1988 in the U.S.S.R., the economic regime of "self-financing" was declared for all enterprises and ministries as a general model for the Soviet economy, which meant that each ministry had to raise their own funds to meet the production targets, with greatly reduced and centrally allocated funds. It was also at this time that the Soviet Union as a whole began to suffer from a severe national deficit. Under such conditions the regional economy and its investors (central ministries) were less willing to comply with the Gosplan commands than they had in previous years. But the central government had no effective way to enforce the commands (economically the Union went into further decline, reflected in the size of the real budget deficit which increased from 80 bil.

rubles in 1988 to 110 bil. rubles in 1989). It was no wonder that the situation with the transport infrastructure became worse. After the August events of 1991, the Soviet Union collapsed and all central ministries and organizations of the former Soviet Union were abolished. It was the start of a period when no program for economical reform existed. A new period of solving regional problems started with the beginning of economic reforms in Russia in 1992. Economic reform, as it was announced by the government, would have included two main areas:

- 1) macroeconomics regulation of economical activities;
- 2) stimulation at a micro level ( at the level of "krai" and "oblast"[territories]).

However, 1992 and the first half of 1993 show that in the field of industrial and regional transportation, political activity was nonexistent. Moreover, the privileges which the government gave arbitrarily to particular regions or industries only made the social situation more unpredictable. Ultimately, each territory and industry competed with each other to receive these privileges.

The Russian Central Government (CG) understands that in a situation where the western and southern ports of the former Soviet Union are lost, the Far Eastern transport infrastructure becomes the real gate for the Russian economy into the Asia-Pacific Region.

The CG declares that it is necessary to develop the transport infrastructure of RFE. But in a situation where no real regional transportation policy exists, with a severe budget deficit and a power struggle among central authorities, the problem of infrastructure development of the RFE remains only a declaration. Now RFE is trying to solve its problems practically without central government assistance.

## **THE TRANSPORT COMPLEX OF THE RUSSIAN FAR EAST**

The transport network of RFE is mostly developed in the southern zone of the region (7% of the territory) which comprises three-fourths of the rail and motor roads. The southern zone of RFE includes the three most populated administrative regions of the Far East: the Primorsky Territory, the Khabarovsk Territory and the Sakhalin Region. The main transport route, basic communication units, and the most important and largest sea and river ports and airports are situated there. In RFE about 8.4 thousand kilometers of Trans-Siberian and Baikal-Amur Mainlines, 22 thousand kilometers of inland navigable waterways, and thousands of miles of sea routes all help connect these areas with foreign countries all over the world.

## **Railway Transport**

At present, 70% of the interregional and foreign trade cargo of RFE is accomplished by means of the railway network located in the southern zone. The total length of the railways is 8.4 thousand km. There are two railway arteries crossing the territory of the Far East of Russia – the Trans-Siberian Railway (TSR) and Baikal-Amur Mainline (BAM) – which connect the eastern and western parts of the country. The continental network and that of the island of Sakhalin are linked by the Vanino-Kholmsk sea-going ferry, which travels 270 km in length. The Sakhalin Region and Primorsky Territory have the densest railway network among the administrative units of the southern zone, but the available network was established in the earlier stages of regional development and has not been developed within the last 15-20 years. The most active railway construction was carried out between 1970-1985 in the Amurskaya Region and the Khabarovsk Territory, where BAM sections come over. However, the construction of the Mainline did not bring the anticipated changes in the level of transport service for these territorial units or for the entire regional economy. This railway has now proved to be only partially loaded, in fact, only 10-15% at individual sections, due to a lack of transit cargo flows predicted in the 1970s (such as those of Siberian crude oil to Japan and other Pacific Rim countries). Moreover, the adaptation of the main part of the Trans-Siberian Railway to electric traction has not yet been accomplished, but the majority of the connecting one-way lines have been built according to the simplified standards of upper tract structure, so the train speeds are limited to 28-30 km/hr.

## **The Fleet of Operating Vessels and Transshipment**

The offices of the largest ship-owners such as FESCO, Primorsky Shipping Co., Sakhalin Shipping Co., Kamchatka Shipping Co. and Arctic Shipping Co. are situated in Vladivostok, Nakhodka, Kholmsk, Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky and Tiksy. There is also a transport shipping company and refrigerator fishing fleet named "Vostoktransflot," which is the largest in Russia.

These companies have about 600 vessels of an aggregate 5 million deadweight. The fleet of operating vessels consists of different types of ships: bulkers, Ro-Ro ships, container ships, refrigerators, timber and wood chip carriers, car and railway ferries, passenger ships, tankers and ice-breakers. In the Far East the fleet of operating vessels carries out the largest quantity of cargo compared with other types of transport. In 1992 merchant marines carried about 50 million tons of different cargo. But

because of weak transport infrastructure there prevails domestic transshipment. Only 46% of the total volume of transshipment are of export-import cargo, including up to 15% of foreign cargo.

Today, more than 50% of the ships have become outdated. They are 18 years old. The purchase of new ships is very difficult because of very high prices in the domestic market. So, it is natural to expect the increase in foreign fleet transshipments. In 1985 the share of foreign ships in transshipment to the Russian Far East was 39%, and in 1992 it increased to 43%. It is expected that more than 50% of the foreign trade transshipment to the Russian Far East will be carried out by foreign shipowners. In this situation it is necessary to solve the problem of the development of ports in order to improve the service for foreign and domestic ships. It is also necessary to increase cargo flows for coastal trade with the Asia-Pacific countries – Japan, Korea and China.

### **Main Ports**

There are 22 large ports and about 100 small sea ports in the Russian Far East. The most important are the 10 of them with an annual cargo turnover of not less than 1 million tons and year-round navigation.

There are 4 basic transshipment ports – Vladivostok, Nakhodka, Vostochny and Vanino. They have a direct connection with the Trans-Siberian and Baikal-Amur Railways. The Russian Far Eastern ports are not transportation-industrial zones. They only perform transportation and transshipment functions. In 1992, export services at the transport of Khabarovsk territory were only \$28,000.

The share of transit cargo consists of more than 80% from total turnover. The main export-import flow of cargo from Russia to the Asia-Pacific countries goes through these ports. The domestic cargo also transits through these ports from the central parts of Russia to the Far North and Sakhalin Island.

So the influence of the RFE ports on regional economic development is insignificant. It is paradoxical, but the export ties of Yakutia and Siberia are more developed than those of the Primorsky and Khabarovsky Territories.

*The Port of Vladivostok* is located in a very convenient place for international commercial activities. Its harbor comprises 30 operating berths with a depth of 9-12m. But the commercial port is limited to only 16 berths and its capacity is about 2 thousand vessels a year. The problem is that other berths are full of enterprises fulfilling non-commercial activities.

In 1992 the total cargo turnover of the commercial port consisted of 4.4 million tons. Vladivostok is the largest regional port in the transshipment of general cargo, the share of which is almost 50%. But because of the deficit of its own berths, the port's productivity is not high. The average total cargo processed per day is 1,500 tons. Because of straitened conditions, the containers are stored up to the height of 5 tiers. Due to such activity the port annually overloads up to 60 thousand containers.

At present the local city administration has decided to allocate additional area in the city boundaries for doubling the capacity of the container port. Vladivostok also has the largest Russian fishery port, where 1.5 million tons of prepared fish are handled. Up to 80% of this volume leave the port in refrigerators by railway to other regions of Russia.

Vladivostok's ports handle up to 2.2 thousand railway cars daily with different cargoes that are received by transit. And the import of cargo by rail exceeds their back export by almost 1.5 times.

*The Port of Nakhodka* is the largest in the Far East in total length of berth and number of calls. The whole berth's length is about 10 km, but its depths are not more than 9m.

There are about 10 different service organizations in the harbor, including commercial, fishing and oil ports. In 1992 the total cargo turnover of these enterprises reached up to 12.4 million tons, including: 7.5 million tons of dry cargo in commercial port and up to 1 million tons of cargo in the fishing port.

In the cargo turnover structure of the commercial port, such export cargoes as timber, coal and rolled metal prevail. The share of import cargoes is 21%, with the principal amount belonging to grain, food and equipment. About 1 million tons are the domestic cargoes directed to the Far North region.

After 1979, when the container terminal was shifted from Nakhodka to Vostochny, the world prestige of Nakhodka as a container port declined. Since that time the level of the port's berth loading-unloading operation averaged 67%. It was a period of big economic troubles for the port. Because of the less powerful loading-unloading equipment and out-dated berths, the port could not compete with Vladivostok and Vostochny, where the handling capacity on the average was 20% higher.

Nowadays, with the opening of the Free Economic Zone (FEZ) in Nakhodka and nearby areas, the commercial port of Nakhodka stepped up its operational commercial activity. In 1992 they began a reconstruction of their berths, including deepening them to 13m. Joint ventures for the



reconstruction and servicing of foreign vessels were created. According to the FEZ development plan, the entire processing of raw materials, manufacturing of packing materials and other technological and loading operations had to be done.

*The Port Vostochny* is situated 20 km from Nakhodka. This port has the largest bulk cargo and container turnover. In 1992 the port's total cargo turnover was 7.2 million tons. The port specializes in handling transit export-import cargoes, including coal, timber, technological wood chips and fertilizers. The share of general cargo is about 2 million tons

In comparison with other Far Eastern ports, Vostochny has the largest depth near its berths, up to 16 m, and the most modernized Japanese loading equipment. Therefore, it has the opportunity to intensify the handling operations with ships and cars.

Port Vostochny is famous within world trade routes as the starting point of the so-called Trans-Siberian Land Bridge – the shortest way from the Asia-Pacific countries via our country to Europe, the Middle East and back. The "Trans-Siberian Container Service"(TSCS) has been operating on this route for almost 20 years. The average transshipment time for containers on this line from Yokohama to Amsterdam is 25 days. Now, the popularity of TSCS in comparison with an All-Ocean-Conference Carrier, is decreasing. The main reason for this is the delaying of the containers at the RFE ports or overland at the Trans-Siberian Railway on land. As a result, it can worsen the landbridge transit time by 5 to 8 days, making the service unacceptable and non-competitive.

The port has adopted a plan to expand the container terminal and to construct new railway tracks. The development plan includes the possibility of constructing a second coal handling terminal, a new grain handling terminal and a new terminal for LNG carriers. The cost is expected to be about \$160 million for the reconstruction. The cargo turnover of the port should increase up to 30 million tons a year

*The Port of Vanino*, which is situated in the Khabarovsk territory on the Northern coast of the sea of Japan, plays a very important role in shipping operations. Its cargo turnover was 7.8 million tons in 1992. Every year about 3.5 thousand ships and 170 thousand railway cars are processed at the port. The year-round operation of the Vanino port is ensured by the ice-breaker fleet.

Up to 60% of port Vanino's turnover is connected with a ferrying service between Vanino and Kholmsk (Sakhalin territory). There are six ferry-bridges on this line. The main amount of cargo travels in railway cars through the port of Vanino to Sakhalin directly. Timber loading for

Japan prevails among export cargoes. The main portion of import cargo consists of general cargo and grain. There are 17 berths with a total length of about 2.6 km and a depth of 10 m. There is also a container terminal where about 40 thousand ISO containers are handled.

The port of Vanino has direct exits to two railways: Baikal-Amur Railway and Trans-Siberian Railway. In comparison with Vladivostok or Nakhodka, it cuts the land route for transit cargo delivery to Europe and the Russian West Region by almost 1000 km. This advantage opens big perspectives for Vanino.

The plan for the port's development provides for the construction of new berths for the export of petrochemicals, fertilizers, coal and other provision (refrigerated) and will increase the port's capacity up to 30 million tons per year. To achieve such a result it is necessary to reconstruct the part of the railroad between Komsomolsk and Vanino (430 km), including the construction of a tunnel. The total amount of expenditures for the work will comprise 250 billion rubles or \$850 million (including \$600 million for the port's equipment).

Nowadays a rapid privatization process is taking place in the ports due to its economic independence from powerful central government structures. Thanks to this process, market relations and commercial activity are rapidly developing. Under the condition of competition, the specialization of cargo flows deepen, the sphere of services introduced to clients enlarges, and the limits for attracting foreign capital investments and foreign partners are opened.

Today, the main Far Eastern ports (Vladivostok, Nakhodka, Vostochny and Vanino) are concentrating on joint ventures dealing with sea transportation in the region. American, Korean, Japanese and West-European firms play the leading roles of foreign partners in Russian business. This fact is confirmed by the possibility of developing the ports of Nakhodka and Vanino as Free Economic Zones and reconstructing the small ports of Southern Primorsky (such as Posyet and Zarubino) into the basic ports for the "Tumen River Area Development Project" (under the UNDP guidance).

### **River Transport**

Covering a significant portion of the Far Eastern area, the rivers of the Amur and Lena basins are known to play a traditionally great role in providing intra-territorial traffic. There are two river shipping companies operating in the Far East – the Amur Shipping Company and the Lena Shipping Company.

*The Lena Shipping Company* is operating in the Lena river basin. The main Lena ports are Osetrovo, Yakutsk, Kirensk, Belogorsk and Olekminsk. Only Osetrovo port has a convenient railway approach. In other ports, cargo is transferred by motor cars.

*The Amur Shipping Company* is operating in the southern part of RFE, in the Primorsky and Khabarovsk Territories of the Amur and Chita regions. To carry dry cargo, containers, and petroleum products, self-propelled and towed vessels of 500 to 4,500 ton capacity are used. Cruise liners and high-speed hydrofoil boats are used for passenger traffic. Various river and sea-going ships carry cargo from the Amur river ports to the North of RFE, more specifically, to Sakhalin, the Amur Region and to seashore destination points in the Primorsky territory and Magadan Region.

The Amur River has also turned out to be a large international transportation artery. In 1990 the Amur Shipping Company carried 587.2 thousand tons of commercial cargo to and from Japanese ports, 36.6 thousand tons to and from Chinese ports, and 37.6 thousand tons to and from Korean ports. The Company's vessels carry sand, timber, fertilizers and construction materials for export, while equipment, machinery, refrigerating chambers, consumer goods, and food are brought back as import goods.

The Amur Shipping company has recently expanded the geography of its outward voyages. The ports of Poyarkovo, Khabarovsk, Komsomolsk and the port station of Nizhneleninskoe have also been opened to be visited by the Chinese ships, while China has opened the ports of Cehe, Fujin, Jiamusi and Harbin. Future plans include arranging the traffic of commercial cargo from Japan and the Republic of Korea to Northeastern China using the waterways of the Amur and Sungari.

### **Motor Transport and Roads**

The network of motor roads is weakly developed and is concentrated primarily in the southern area of the region. The total length of motor roads is about 56 thousand km, but only 7.1 thousand km (12%) of them are improved surface roads. Almost 80% of the surfaced motor roads are concentrated in the southern part of RFE. But according to the level of transport coverage, only the Primorsky territory approaches the average Republic's indices for road density and connection between District centers by common surfaced motor roads (see Table 6.1). Nevertheless, even here (i.e., in the territory with the most favorable natural climatic conditions), only 27% of the motor roads have improved pavement, ultimately limiting the traveling speed and load of the vehicles.

Table 6.1 Connection between district centers by common surfaced motor roads (%)

Administrative territorial entity	1980	1983	1990	1991
Russia	85.8	90.9	91.8	92.3
Far East Region	49.3	56.6	59.0	59.0
including:				
Primorsky Territory	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Khabarovsk Territory	54.5	59.1	59.1	59.1
Amurskaya Region	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0
Kamchatka Region	27.3	27.3	36.4	36.4
Magadan Region	43.8	43.8	43.8	43.8
Sakhalin Region	29.4	70.6	76.5	76.5
Republic of Saha (Yakutia)	12.5	18.8	24.2	24.2

Source: Transport and Communication of the RSFSR, M., 1992

### Air Transport and Main Airports

Air transport is of great importance in the Far East of Russia. Due to a lag in the development of the overland transportation network, air transport plays a key role in providing transportation for the regional population, for both long and short distances. As a result, the air transportation requirements of Far Eastern residents are 3 times higher than those of the other regions of Russia.

The problem is that air transportation has many difficulties in operation due to:

- the lack of airplanes (more than 80% of the airplanes which are used on the local airlines are out of date, and Far Eastern airplane production and repair plants were oriented only to the needs of the military complex.);
- the fuel shortage;
- the small capacity of the airports.

In spite of the high cost of air services, many air transportation enterprises are unprofitable. In 1992, air ticket prices rose 5 times, but at the same time the expenditures of the transport organizations increased 18 times. The appreciation for the dead loss of air transport in the Southern part of the Far East in 1992 was 3.5 billion rubles. In this situation the Far Eastern Air Companies try to solve their problems by increasing

international activity. Unfortunately, the Far Eastern Amur Fleet does not have enough heavy cargo airplanes. Because of this fact, the transit cargo flow on TSCS is mainly served by the airplanes of other Russian and Ukrainian air companies, which receive the biggest part of the profits.

*Khabarovsk* is the largest of the Far Eastern airports with an annual cargo turnover of about 60 thousand tons. This airport serves 2.5 million passengers per year including about 200 thousand on foreign air routes. It has a direct connection with Niigata, Seoul, Pyongyang, Harbin, Anchorage, and also transit routes to Tokyo, Singapore, Saigon, and Beijing.

*Vladivostok* is the second Far Eastern airport with a cargo turnover of about 5 thousand tons. It annually serves about 1.5 million passengers. The first international airline (Vladivostok -Niigata) was opened in April 1992.

The development of international airports in the Russian Far East is mainly connected with the conversion of some military airports, and with the aid of foreign investors. It is necessary to mention that a considerable part of the work has been done with their help, including the construction of the International Terminal in Khabarovsk and the reconstruction and development of the airports in Vanino, Vladivostok and Magadan.

## CONCLUSION

1. The transportation system of the Russian Far East is very simple and limited. It is based exclusively on the Trans-Siberian and Baikal-Amur Railways. Until lately, it was oriented towards domestic, interregional transportation.
2. Russia's Far Eastern ports are not transportation-industrial zones. They only perform transportation and transshipment functions. The influence of the main ports on the RFE economic development is insignificant.
3. In the middle of the 1980s, there appeared to be two main tendencies in the development of transport in RFE:
  - As for Russia's integration into the Asia-Pacific Region, the natural and economic resources of RFE are losing their relative importance compared with the region's role in cargo transit.
  - However, the competitiveness of the RFE transport infrastructure in the Asia-Pacific transportation market is decreasing.

4. Improvements in operating and management systems, as well as new investments into specialized cargo terminals and traffic management systems, will be required.
5. During the period of economical and political instability, a great inflow of foreign investments can hardly be expected. The majority of short-to-medium term investments may be financed through domestic sources such as privatization, user fees, or the investments of joint-stock companies from the former Soviet Union.